Appendix B

Election and Electoral Registration Consultation Report

Final Report

18 July 2016 to 14 August 2016

Election and Electoral Registration Consultation Report

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report sets out the findings from the Election and Electoral Registration Service Review.

Background

Following completion of the independent investigation into problems with the electoral registers during the London Mayoral and GLA Elections on the morning of Thursday 5th May 2016, the council decided to undertake an independent review of its Election Service to ensure any wider concerns are investigated and addressed where needed.

As part of this review the council is keen to gather feedback from residents on their experiences of elections in Barnet and the Elections Registration Service. The aim of gathering this feedback was not to focus on the 5th May but to try and capture residents' views in general terms of elections in Barnet and the service provided.

1.   Summary of method

The review consisted of an online feedback form published on http://engage.barnet.gov.uk. Paper copies of the feedback form were also available from libraries and were available to download from the council's website. Residents who did not have access to the internet or could not pick up a paper copy were invited to give their feedback by telephoning the council's call centre.

The review was widely promoted via the council's website; local press; Twitter; Facebook; and posters in libraries.

2.   Response to the review

A total of 35 online and 3 paper feedback forms were completed. No telephone surveys were completed.

A series of demographic question were asked including age, gender, ethnicity, disability and faith. Due to the small sample size the results have not been analysed by these demographics.

3.   Calculating and reporting on results

The results are based on "valid responses" only, i.e. all those providing an answer to a question (this may or may not be the same as the total response) unless otherwise specified. The base size may therefore vary from question to question depending on the extent of non-response (i.e. respondents skipping questions).

4.   Key headlines

The key headlines are presented below.

Respondents who disagreed with any of these questions were also asked to give reasons for their response. Sample sizes for these are very small, and there was very little consensus. Full details can be found under section 5.

4.1   Information about Electoral Registration

➢   half of those responding to the review (50%, 19 out of 38) agree that the information and publicity materials on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand.

➢   one-fifth of respondents (21%, 8 out of 38) disagree that the information and publicity materials on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand.

➢   16% of respondents (6 out of 38) indicated they are neutral (neither agree nor disagree).

➢   13% of respondents (5 out of 38) indicated they had not seen any of this publicity information.

4.2   Registering to vote

➢   three-quarters of respondents (74%, 25 out of 34) agree that they receive enough information about how to register to vote. 12% (4 out of 34) disagree, and the remainder are neutral 15% (5 out of 34).

➢   three-fifths of respondents (61%, 20 out of 33) agree that the registering to vote process is straightforward. 3% of respondents (6 out of 33) disagree, and the remainder are either neutral (18%, 6 out of 33) or indicated they did not know (3%, 1 out of 33).

➢   just over half of respondents (55%, 18 out of 33) agree that they are satisfied overall with the registering to vote process in Barnet. 30% (10 out of 33) disagree, and the remainder are neutral 15% (5 out of 33).

4.3   Polling Stations

Two respondents (6%, 2 out of 34) indicated they have never voted at a polling station.

Of those respondents who have voted at a polling station:

➢   three-quarters of respondents (75%, 24 out of 32) agree that the information provided about their polling station is clear. 13% (4 out of 32) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (13%, 4 out of 32).

➢   three-fifths of respondents (59%, 19 out of 32) agree that voting at a polling station is straightforward. 19% (6 out of 32) disagree, and again the remainder are neutral (22%, 7 out of 32).

> three-fifths of respondents (59%, 19 out of 32) agree they are satisfied with the location of their polling station overall. 31% (10 out of 32) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (9%, 3 out of 32).

4.4 Applying for a proxy vote

Over a half of respondents (55%, 18 out of 33) indicated they have never applied for a proxy vote.

Of those respondents who have applied for proxy vote:

> two-thirds of respondents (66%, 10 out of 15) agree that they receive enough information about how to apply for a proxy vote. 7% (1 out of 15) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (27%, 4 out of 15).
> just over half of respondents (54%, 7 out of 13) agree that applying for a proxy vote is straightforward. 15% (2 out of 13) disagree, and again the remainder are neutral (27%, 4 out of 15).
> nearly three-fifths of respondents (58%, 7 out of 12) agree they are satisfied with the process for applying for a proxy vote overall. 8% (1 out of 12) are not satisfied and the remainder (33%, 4 out of 12) are neutral.

4.5 Applying for a postal vote

Two-fifths of respondents (39%, 13 out of 33,) indicated have never applied for a postal vote

Of those respondents who have applied for a postal vote:

> the majority of respondents who have applied for a postal vote agree (70%, 14 out of 20) agree that they receive enough information about how to apply for a postal vote. 5% (1 out of 20) disagree, and the remainder are neutral 25% (4 out of 20).
> two-thirds of respondents (66%, 12 out of 18) agree that applying for a postal vote is straightforward. 17% (3 out of 18) disagree, and again the remainder are neutral (27%, 4 out of 18).
> just over half of respondents (55%, 10 out of 18) agree they are satisfied overall with the process for applying for a postal vote. 28% (5 out of 18) are not satisfied, and the remainder (17%, 3 out of 18) are neutral.

4.6 Election helpline

Just over half of respondents (55%, 19 out of 33) indicated they have never called the helpline.

Of those who have called the helpline:

> two-fifths of respondents (43%, 6 out of 14) agree that the helpline is easy to access. However, half of respondents disagree (50%, 7 out of 14), and the remainder are neutral (7%, 1 out of 14).

➤   one-third of respondents (33%, 5 out of 15) agree that staff are able to provide them with the information they require. However, three fifths (60%, 9 out of 15) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (7%, 1 out of 15).

5.   Detailed findings

5.1   Information about Electoral Registration

Respondents were asked to what extent they agree or disagree that the information and publicity materials on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand. 38 respondents answered this question.

Table 1 below shows that:

➤   half of the respondents (50%, 19 out of 38) agree that the information and publicity materials on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand.
➤   only one-fifth of respondents (21%, 8 out of 38) disagree that the information and publicity materials on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand.
➤   16% of respondents (6 out of 38) said they neither agree nor disagree.
➤   13% (5 out of 38) said they had not seen any of this information.

Table 1: To what extent respondents agree or disagree that the information and publicity materials on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the information and publicity materials on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand?	%	Number
Strongly agree	18%	7
Tend to agree	32%	12
Neither agree or disagree	16%	6
Tend to disagree	16%	6
Strongly disagree	5%	2
Have not seen any of this information	13%	5
Total	**100%**	**38**

5.1.1   Of those who indicated they agree that the information and publicity materials are clear and easy to understand, almost three-fifths (58%, 11 out of 19) did not give a reason for their response.

Of the 8 respondents (42%, 8 out of 19) who gave a reason why they **agree**, the most frequently mentioned type of reason was that the wording of electoral publicity materials was clear, straightforward, and easy to understand (5 respondents). The rest of the reasons are varied and listed below in table 2.

Table 2: Comments made by those who agree that the information and publicity how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand

Reasons why respondents agree that the information and publicity on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand:	
	Number of comments
The wording of electoral publicity materials was sufficient / clear, straightforward / easy to understand	5
Seen lots of posters locally	1
Seen information in libraries and in newspapers	1
Polling card received with instructions on	1
General awareness that the election is happening	1
Total number of different types of comments	**9 (Number of respondents 8)**

5.1.2 Of those who indicated they **disagree** that the information and publicity materials are clear and easy to understand, almost two-thirds (62%, 5 out of 8) did not give a reason for their response.

Of the 3 respondents (38%, 3 out of 8) who gave a reason why they **disagree**, comments again varied, citing: the instructions in the Household Enquiry Form are ambiguous (1); simple and basic information was impossible to source (1); the call centre was not functional (1). 1 respondent said that although own their experience of voting has been good they were aware that this is not the case for other Barnet residents.

Table 3: Comments made by those who disagree the information and publicity material on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand

Reasons why respondents disagree that the information and publicity on how to register and the different options for voting are clear and easy to understand:	
	Number of comments
The instructions in the Household Enquiry Form are ambiguous	1
Simple and basic information was impossible to source	1
Dissatisfied with Election Office - was not functional	1
My experience of voting has been good but aware that this is not the case for other Barnet residents	1
Total number of different types of comments	**4 (Number of respondents 3)**

5.1.3 Of those who indicated that they **had not seen any of the information and publicity material on how to register**, three fifths (60%, 3 out of 5) did not write in any further comments.

The 2 respondents' comments related mainly to the local elections on May 5th 2016. Respondents cited: only discovered that they had been erroneously removed from the electoral roll once they realised they were the only member of the household who had not received their polling card (1); despite proof being sent in plenty of time the respondent was not added to the electoral roll in time to cast their vote in the Referendum (1).

Table 4: Comments made by those who had not seen any information

Reasons why respondents indicate that they have not seen any of the information and publicity on how to register and the different options for voting:	
	Number of comments
Only discovered that they had been erroneously removed from the electoral roll once they realised they were the only member of the household who had not received their polling card	1
Despite numerous phone calls, form filling in and proof being sent in plenty of time the respondent was not added to the electoral roll in time to cast their vote in the Referendum.	1
Only received their polling card – not aware what the other publicity was	1
Total number of different types of comments	**3 (Number of respondents 2)**

5.1.4   Of those who indicated that they **neither agree nor disagree** that the information and publicity materials are clear and easy to understand, 83% (5) did not give a reason for their answer.

The respondent who gave a reason cited: many people are unaware that they will not automatically appear on the electoral register unless they take action.

5.2   Registering to vote

Respondents were asked for their views on experiences of registering to vote in Barnet and to what extent they agree or disagree with the statements. 34 respondents answered this question.

➢   three-quarters of respondents (74%, 25 out of 34) agree that they receive enough information about how to register to vote. 12% (4 out of 34) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (15%, 5 out of 34).
➢   three-fifths of respondents (61%, 20 out of 33) agree that the registering to vote process is straightforward. 3% (6 out of 33) disagree, and the remainder are either neutral 18% (6 out of 33) or indicated they did not know (3%, 1 out of 33).
➢   over half of respondents (55%, 18 out of 33) agree they are satisfied overall with the registering to vote process in Barnet. 30% (10 out of 33) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (15%, 5 out of 33).

Table 5: To what extent respondents agree or disagree with the statements about registering to vote

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?	Strongly agree / agree		Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly disagree / disagree		Don't know / not sure		Total Number
	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
I receive enough information about how to register to vote and the process.	74%	25	15%	5	12%	4	0%	0	34
The registering to vote process is straightforward	61%	20	18%	6	18%	6	3%	1	33
Overall, I am satisfied with the registering to vote process in Barnet	55%	18	15%	5	30%	10	0%	0	33

5.2.1   Reasons givens why respondents disagree with the above statements on the registering process.

Of those who indicated that they disagree with any of the statements on registering to vote, 9 respondents gave reasons why they disagree across the three statements.

The most frequently mentioned reason related to the Household Enquiry Form being ambiguous, unclear, and forms sent out too frequently (3 respondents). The rest of

the responses were varied, with many relating to the recent elections in May and June.

Table 6: Comments made by those who disagree with the statements on the registering to vote process

Reasons why respondents indicate that they disagree with the registering to vote process:	Number of comments
Household Enquiry Forms are unclear/ ambiguous / forms sent out too frequently	3
Prevented from voting due to staff incompetency	1
No postal vote arrived despite completing the form	1
Almost lost the right to vote due to canvasser's error	1
Never received a polling card for the EU referendum	1
Someone should have compared the electoral rolls to the previous year;	1
A concern that residents without access to the internet find it harder or impossible to add themselves to the electoral register	1
Experience of voting has been good but aware that this is not the case for other Barnet residents	1
Total number of different types of comments	**10 (Number of respondents 9)**

5.3   Polling Stations

Respondents were asked to indicate the name of their polling station. 27 respondents answered this question and the responses cover a range of polling stations. The complete list of the polling stations that respondents indicated they use can be found in Appendix 1.

Respondents were asked for their views on polling stations, experiences and to what extent they agree or disagree with various statements. 34 respondents answered this question.

Two respondents (6%, 2 out of 34) indicated they have never voted at a polling station.

Table 7 over the page shows that of those who have voted at a polling station:

➢   three-quarters of respondents (75%, 24 out of 32) agree that the information provided about their polling station is clear. 13% (4 out of 32) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (13%, 4 out of 32).
➢   three-fifths of respondents (59%, 19 out of 32) agree that voting at a polling station is straightforward. 19% (6 out of 32) disagree, and again the remainder are neutral (22%, 7 out of 32).

➢ three-fifths of respondents (59%, 19 out of 32) agree they are satisfied with the location of their polling station overall. 31% (10 out of 32) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (9%, 3 out of 32)

Table 7: To what extent respondents agree or disagree with the statements about polling stations

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?	Strongly agree / agree		Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly disagree / disagree		Total Number Have voted at a polling station
	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
The information provided about the location of my polling station is clear	75%	24	13%	4	13%	4	32
Voting at polling stations is straightforward	59%	19	22%	7	19%	6	32
Overall, I am satisfied with the location of my polling station	59%	19	9%	3	31%	10	32

5.3.1 Reasons given by those who disagree with the above statements on Polling Stations

Of those who indicated they disagree with any of the statements on polling stations 15 respondents gave reasons why they disagree across the three statements.

The most frequently mentioned reasons for disagreement were: schools should not be used as polling stations / childcare is expensive for parents when schools are closed (3); polling stations are too small (2); polling stations are too far away; information needs to be clearer / did not receive any information (2).

Table 8: Comments made by those who disagree with the statements about Polling Stations

Reasons why respondents indicate that they disagree with the statements about Polling Stations:	Number of comments
Schools should not be used as polling stations / childcare expensive for parents when schools closed	3
Polling stations are too small	2
Polling stations are too far away	2
Did not receive any information/information needs to be clearer	2
Difficulty parking	1
Problem with electoral roll but respondent had brought polling card so was able to vote	1
not accessible for disabled voters	1
Schools should be rotated if they are used	1
Voting process is too complicated	1
Had complained about the poor layout of polling station however was happy that the concerns were taken on board and changes to layout made in time for the Referendum	1
Own experience of polling station is satisfactory	1
Was disenfranchised from the mayoral election.	1
Poor quality arrangements at many Barnet polling stations	1
Total number of different types of comments	**18 Comments (Number of respondents 15)**

5.4 Applying for a proxy vote

Respondents were asked for their views on applying for a proxy vote and to what extent they agree or disagree with the statements. 33 respondents answered this question.

Over a half of respondents (55%, 18 out of 33) indicated they have never applied for a proxy vote.

Table 9 over the page shows of those who have applied for a proxy vote:

➢ two-thirds of respondents (66%, 10 out of 15) agree that they receive enough information about how to apply for a proxy vote. 7% (1 out of 15) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (27%, 4 out of 15).
➢ just over half of respondents (54%, 7 out of 13) agree that applying for a proxy vote is straightforward. 15% (2 out of 13) disagree, and again the remainder are neutral (27%, 4 out of 15).

➢ nearly three-fifths (58%, 7 out of 12) are satisfied with the process for applying for a proxy vote overall. 8% (1 out of 12) are not satisfied and the remainder (33%, 4 out of 12) are neutral.

Table 9: To what extent respondents agree or disagree with the statements about applying for a proxy vote

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?	Strongly agree / agree		Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly disagree / disagree		Total who had applied for a proxy vote
	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	Number
I receive enough information about how to apply for a proxy vote	66%	10	27%	4	7%	1	15
Applying for a proxy vote is straightforward	54%	7	31%	4	15%	2	13
Overall, I am satisfied with the process for applying for a proxy vote in Barnet	58%	7	33%	4	8%	1	12

5.4.1 Reasons given by those who disagree with the statements about applying for a proxy vote

Of those who indicated they disagree with any of the statements on applying for a proxy vote, only 3 respondents gave reasons why they disagree across the three statements.

One respondent requested a proxy vote but was advised it was too late, so applied for a postal vote, but both proxy and postal voting forms arrived before their holiday. The two remaining respondents indicated they disagree with the statements about applying for a proxy vote, however when reading the comments they appear to have never applied for a proxy vote which indicates their dissatisfaction is based on their preference to vote in person.

Table 10: Comments made by those who disagree with the statements

Reasons why respondents indicate that they disagree with the statements about applying for a proxy vote:	
	Number of comments
Has always voted in person.	1
Is a postal voter	1
Applied for a postal vote but advised it would not be sent out in time for the respondent going on holiday, so cancelled and asked for a proxy vote but both proxy and postal voting forms arrived before their holiday	1
Total number of different types of comments	**3 Comments (Number of respondents 3)**

5.5 Applying for a postal vote

Respondents were asked for their views on applying for a postal vote and to what extent they agree or disagree with the statements. 33 respondents answered this question.

39% of respondents (13 out of 33) indicated they have never applied for a postal vote.

Table 11 below shows of those who have applied for a postal vote:

➢ the majority of respondents who have applied for a postal vote (70%, 14 out of 20) agree that they receive enough information about how to apply for a postal vote. 5% (1 out of 20) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (25%, 4 out of 20).
➢ two-thirds of respondents (66%, 12 out of 18) agree that applying for a postal vote is straightforward. 17% (3 out of 18) disagree, and again the remainder are neutral (27%, 4 out of 18).
➢ just over half of respondents (55%, 10 out of 18) agree they are satisfied overall with the process for applying for a postal vote. 28% (5 out of 18) are not satisfied, and the remainder (17%, 3 out of 18) are neutral.

Table 11: To what extent respondents agree or disagree with the statements about applying for a postal vote

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?	Strongly agree / agree		Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly disagree / disagree		Total Number who had applied for a postal vote
	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	Number
I receive enough information about how to apply for a postal vote.	70%	14	25%	5	5%	1	20
Applying for a postal vote is straightforward	66%	12	17%	3	17%	3	18
Overall, I am satisfied with the process for applying for a postal vote	55%	10	17%	3	28%	5	18

5.5.1 Reasons given by those who disagree with the statements on applying for a postal vote

Of those who indicated they disagree with the statements on applying for a postal vote, 7 respondents gave reasons why they disagree across the three statements.

Four respondents said they disagree because they are hesitant to ever apply for a postal vote or have never applied for a postal vote or voted in person The other reasons were mixed and are listed in table 12 over the page.

Table 12: Comments made by those who disagree with the statements on applying for a postal vote

Reasons why respondents indicate that they disagree with the statements about applying for a postal vote:	Number of comments
Hesitant to ever apply for a postal vote/ never had to apply for a postal vote / always voted in person	4
The voting process is too complicated.	1
Applied for a postal vote but advised it would not be sent out in time for the respondent going on holiday, so cancelled and asked for a proxy vote but both proxy and postal voting forms arrived before their holiday	1
Cannot remember as the election was over two and a half months before this review.	1
A family member has never received their postal vote.	1
Knows that a significant number of residents found the process to be deeply unsatisfactory	1
Total number of different types of comments	**9 Comments (Number of respondents 7)**

5.6   Election helpline

Respondents were asked for their views on the election helpline and to what extent they agree or disagree with the statements. 33 respondents answered this question.

Just over half (55%, 19 out of 33) of respondents indicated they have never called the helpline.

Table 13 over the page shows of those who have called the helpline:

➢   just over two-fifths of respondents (43%, 6 out of 14) agree that the helpline is easy to access. However, 50% (7 out of 14) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (7%, 1 out of 14).
➢   one-third of respondents (33%, 5 out of 15) agree that staff are able to provide them with the information they require. 60% (9 out of 15) disagree, and the remainder are neutral (7%, 1 out of 15).

Table 13: To what extent respondents agree or disagree with the statements about the election helpline

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?	Strongly agree / agree		Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly disagree / disagree		Total respondents who have never called the helpline
	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	Number
The helpline is easy to access	43%	6	7%	1	50%	7	14
Staff are able to provide me with the information I require	33%	5	7%	1	60%	9	15

5.6.1 Reasons given by those who disagree with the statements about the election helpline

Of those who indicated they disagree with the statements about the election helpline vote, 10 respondents gave a reason why they disagree across the two statements.

The most frequently mentioned reason for disagreement was that the telephone lines were busy; callers could not get through or leave a message (5).

Table 14: Comments made by those who disagree with the statements about the election helpline

Reasons why respondents indicate that they disagree with the statements about the election helpline:	
	Number of comments
Lines busy / couldn't get through / couldn't leave a message	5
Poor service / not fit for purpose	2
Letters went missing	1
No support for staff	1
Unhelpful staff	1
Emails not responded to	1
Only phoned once about this review	1
Inconsistent information	1
Telephoned to cancel their postal vote but still received both a postal and proxy vote in error	1
Total number of different types of comments	**14 Comments (Number of respondents 10)**

5.7   Any other comments

Respondents were asked if they had any further comments to make about the Elections Service in Barnet.

Of the 20 respondents who gave a comment, the most frequently mentioned type of comments were that the respondent did not have a problem voting in Barnet (3); the respondent was prevented from voting (2); received a poor service (2); the council should use facilities other than schools (2).

Table 15 over the page shows the comments that were received:

Table 15: General comments made about the Elections Service in Barnet

Do you have any comments to make about the Elections Service in Barnet?	Number of comments
Did not have a problem voting in Barnet	3
The council should use facilities other than schools	2
Prevented from voting	2
Received a poor service	2
The council should rotate schools	1
Voter wrongly removed from the register	1
Almost denied right to vote	1
Locations of polling station - request to review distances that voters need to travel	1
Request to relocate a polling station so that it had disabled access	1
Request for a change to the layout of a polling station which had been actioned in time for the EU Referendum	1
Impossible to complain by phone	1
No acknowledgement of complaint	1
In recent years, whole streets have been left out of the electoral register, depriving residents of their right to vote.	1
Brought polling card but prevented from voting and could not return later.	1
Never received a polling card	1
Imperative to have an up to date electoral register	1
Concern about the loss of knowledgeable staff who know how to run elections.	1
Action needs to be taken to ensure that what happened at the last election never happens again	1
Spend money and do it properly.	1
Those responsible for the problems need to be held to account.	1
A voter was unhappy about being approached as they entered a polling station at the General Election by someone wearing a political rosette who asked to see their polling card	1
Suggestion for the electoral roll to be analysed on a Ward basis to determine whether there was a loss of right to vote in the Mayoral Election and if there is a difference of more than 5% in the turnout, then the Mayoral election should be re-run	1
No backup system was in place	1
Lack of information	1
The investigation of the 2010 General Election was a 'whitewash'.	1
The findings from the Mayoral/GLA Elections in 2016 are 'worthless' and the electors deserve a full and independent review.	1
Total number of different types of comments	**31 Comments (Number of respondents 20)**

Appendix 1: Respondents' allocated polling station

What is the name of your allocated polling station?		
Response	**%**	**Number**
Don't know / not sure	12.5%	3
Annunciation Catholic Junior School (The), The Meads, Edgware, Middlesex HA8 9HQ	8.3%	2
Deansbrook School, Hale Drive, NW7 3ED	8.3%	2
Essex Hall, 5 Essex Park London N3 1ND	8.3%	2
Barnet Multi-Cultural Community Centre, Algernon Road, Hendon NW4 3TA	0.0%	1
Brunswick Park Road, Southgate N11 (Portable Office in the Car Park)	4.2%	1
Chalgrove School, Chalgrove Gardens, Finchley N3 3PL	4.2%	1
Eversfield Centre (The), 11 Eversfield Gardens Mill Hill NW7 2AE	4.2%	1
Freehold Community Centre 9 Alexandra Road, Muswell Hill N10 2EY	4.2%	1
Harvester Car Park Selvage Lane Edgware Middlesex HA8 9RZ (Portable Office)	4.2%	1
New Barnet Community Centre 48/50 Victoria Road, New Barnet EN4 9PF	4.2%	1
New Bevan Baptist Church Hall, Grove Road, Barnet Herts EN4 9DF	4.2%	1
New Stonegrove Community Centre, 5 Hayling Way, Edgware HA8 8BN	4.2%	1
St Marks Church Hall, Potters Road, New Barnet Herts EN5 5HY	4.2%	1
St Paul's Centre, 50 Long Lane, London N3 2PU	4.2%	1
St Mary & Archangel Michael Coptic Orthodox Church Hall Cranbourne Gardens Golders Green NW11 0HU	0.0%	1
St Peter Le Poer Church Hall, Albion Avenue London N10 1AE	4.2%	1
St Stephens Church Hall, 1 Spring Close, Bells Hill, Barnet EN5 2UR	4.2%	1
Stanford Road, Friern Barnet N11 3HX (Scout and Guide Hall)	4.2%	1
Summerside School, Crossway, Finchley N12 0QU	4.2%	1
Sunnyfields School, Greyhound Hill, London NW4 4JH	0.0%	1
Trinity Church, Rodborough Road Childs Hill NW11 8NH (Harris Room)	4.2%	1
Total responses	**100%**	**27**